

Journal of Arts, Humanities & Society

Journal Homepage: <https://academiansedu.org/journal-of-arts-humanities-and-society/>

Research Article



A Philosophical Examination of Identity in Special Persons

Green, Albert U (PhD)¹, Ifeakor S. Chinedu², Nweke, N. James. Ph. D³, Efido, Okechukwu B. S. Ph.D⁴, Okeke, Odinakachukwu E.⁵

¹Adjunct Lecturer, Department of Special Education

St. Paul's Theological college Awka, green.albert@sptcawka.com.ng;

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-3641-3948>

²Philosophy, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, cs.Ifeakor@unizik.edu.ng

³Department of Philosophy, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, jn.nweke@unizik.edu.ng

⁴Department of Arts Education (Educational Technology), University of Nigeria, Nsukka. blessed.efido@unn.edu.ng

⁵Department of International Law and Jurisprudence, Faculty of Law, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria

oe.okeke@unizik.edu.ng

ORCID id: 0009-0006-7561-8720

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Philosophy,
Examination, Identity,
Special Persons

ABSTRACT

This study challenges conventional ideas that place a premium on reason, autonomy, and cognitive ability by examining the philosophical aspects of identity in people with impairments. Identity has long been associated with moral reasoning and intellectual agency, according to classical viewpoints like those of Descartes and Kant. However, those who don't fit the normal physical or cognitive criteria are frequently marginalized by these categories. This study offers a more inclusive view of identity that takes into account social interactions, emotional depth, and lived experience by fusing philosophical discussion with current disability studies. This work challenges ableist presumptions that associate independence and cognitive prowess with complete personhood by examining embodied identity, relational autonomy, and the function of adaptive communication. The study emphasizes how people with disabilities have shaped both individual and collective identities, highlighting the fact that selfhood is a dynamic and collaborative process rather than a static, idealized condition. Disability perspectives advocate for the acceptance of many kinds of self-expression and agency, challenging inflexible identification markers. grasp identity beyond cognitive norms requires a grasp of the narrative self, which is shaped by social interactions and personal histories. Legal and ethical issues are also examined, with a focus on how disability rights groups have changed social and policy definitions of identity. In the conclusion, this essay makes the case for a rethinking of identity that respects different forms of autonomy, recognizes interdependence, and cherishes human variation. This study provides a framework that recognizes the inherent worth of every person, regardless of physical or cognitive impairments, by bridging the gap between classical philosophy and modern disability perspectives.

1. Introduction

Identity, the foundation of human existence, has traditionally been understood as the meeting point of moral agency, reason, and self-awareness. While Kant's focus on rational autonomy positioned identity as a consequence of moral reasoning and human volition, classical thinkers like Descartes, with his cogito "*I think, therefore I am*" anchored identity in intellectual self-reflection (Campbell, 2017). These fundamental viewpoints supported a paradigm that held that identity was primarily an internal, unchangeable essence that was controlled by reason and unaffected by outside factors. But as philosophical ideas have developed, these inflexible

notions have been demolished and replaced with more flexible interpretations that take into account the social, relational, and emotional aspects of selfhood. The idea that identity is a solely logical creation is contested by modern scholarship, which views identity as a continuous conflict between societal structural facts and subjective experience.

This research stems from a basic criticism of traditional identity models, which have traditionally given precedence to normative cognitive and physical capacities, portraying people with disabilities as departures from the idealized human form.

Received 26 April 2026; Received in revised form 18 May 2026; Accepted 5 June 2026

Available online 22 June 2026

© 2025 The Authors. Published by AcademiansEdu. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Those who do not fit within the mainstream paradigm are marginalized by the implicit association of full identity with so-called "normal" functioning (Boucher, 2022; Withers, 2024). These conventional limits must be reevaluated in light of the lived experiences of disabled people, which necessitates a rethinking of identity that goes beyond ableist presumptions. This study promotes a broad, inclusive framework one that positions disability not as an abnormality but as a basic variant of human existence instead of defining identity via a deficit-based lens that quantifies departure from an arbitrary norm.

Identity is a dynamic narrative influenced by one's past, memories, and the complex network of social connections; it is neither a fixed state nor a single construct (Dunlop, 2017; Henig & Ebbrecht-Hartmann, 2022). Contemporary thought acknowledges identity as a continuous dialectic between self-reflection and outside validation, whereas classical traditions have frequently attempted to define it through strict, ahistorical norms. Because prevailing paradigms continue to support goals of unhindered cognition and physicality as standards of full personhood, this dialectic is especially problematic for people with impairments (Lawson & Beckett, 2021; Stein, 2017).

Therefore, this study aims to challenge these exclusionary assumptions as well as develop a more complete model of identity that rejects the ableist tendency toward reductive thinking and recognizes the diversity of human experience. This research creates a vision of selfhood that respects human variation rather than subordinating it to normative expectations by combining historical paradigms, disability studies, and ethical inquiry. This results in an identity framework that is both socially just and philosophically rigorous (Alaimo, 2017; Hall, 2016; Shakespeare, 2015; Waitoller & Thorius, 2022).

2. Theories of Identity

Identity and mental capacity were related in early Western philosophy (Blumenthal-Barby, 2024; Chadha, 2022; McIntosh, 2018). Though these concepts can marginalize people who do not live up to such high standards. Descartes' "I think, therefore I am" and Kant's emphasis on moral self-legislation established a foundation that values cognitive and reasoning talents (Kant, 2017).

Psychological Continuity Theory by John Locke (1632–1704)

Locke proposed that personal identity is based on psychological continuity, particularly memory. His point of argument is that a person remains the same over time if they can remember past experiences, as memory connects past and present consciousness. This theory emphasizes mental continuity over physical substance. However, critics argue that memory can be unreliable or discontinuous, which may lead to questions about the stability of one's identity (Hammack, 2015). The theory also struggles with cases of amnesia a psychological condition also referred to as memory loss, where personal identity might seem disrupted but the individual still exists.

Psychological Connectedness and Reductionism by Derek Parfit (1942–2017)

Parfit refined Locke's ideas, arguing that identity is not a single, fixed thing but rather a matter of degrees of psychological connectedness (memories, intentions, character). He opined that identity is not what ultimately matters; rather, what matters is psychological continuity. Parfit's theory challenges the notion of a permanent, unified self. It is in agreement with Buddhist and Humean ideas that the self is an evolving collection of experiences rather than a fixed entity (Mansfield, 2020; Rapp & Tirassa, 2017). Philosophically, this raises questions about responsibility, moral agency, and survival beyond strict personal identity.

By highlighting the need for identity to take social relationships, cultural background, and emotional intelligence into consideration, contemporary scholars challenge these boundaries. Identity is portrayed in contemporary discourse as a mix of biological, social, and experiential elements that change over time, drawing on sociology, psychology, and disability studies (Gooding, 2024).

Reevaluating Identity

Historically, identity has been defined by specific cognitive and behavioral traits. The most common traditional criteria include:

1. **Consciousness:** The capacity for self-awareness and emotional experience (Blackmore & Troscianko, 2018).
2. **Rationality:** The ability to reason and make moral judgments (Arrington, 2019).
3. **Autonomy:** The capacity for independent decision-making (Kassahun & Zewdie, 2022).

While these traits have long been viewed as essential, contemporary perspectives argue that emotional and relational qualities are equally significant in defining what it means to be a person.

Challenges from Disability Perspectives

A strict reliance on cognitive and physical independence as markers of identity can lead to the exclusion of individuals with disabilities (Agmon et al., 2016; Mitchell, 2021). Critics argue for a broader interpretation that acknowledges alternative forms of agency and self-awareness. This perspective shifts the discussion away from rigid standards of autonomy toward a more inclusive framework that values diverse human experiences.

3. Disability and the Question of Identity

The adaptive experiences of individuals with impairments are frequently not well reflected by traditional identity markers (Artiles, 2023). Disability can provide different avenues for self-expression, decision-making, and interpersonal interaction rather than limiting identity. Even in interdependent relationships, people with disabilities often create adaptation methods that highlight their autonomy (Álvarez-Aguado et al., 2024; Parker & Field, 2023). These examples of agency show how autonomy and interdependence can coexist, challenging the idea that independence is a requirement for identity.

Additionally, ableist standards that place a premium on particular mental or physical characteristics run the danger of underestimating the contributions made by people with disabilities (Reber et al., 2022). As a result, academics and activists support a broader definition of identity that values human diversity rather than marginalizing people who don't fit the mold. The notion that identity is exclusively established by abstract rationality and independence is rejected by inclusive models (Blumenthal-Barby, 2024; Merrill, 2021). Instead, they suggest that relationality, bodily experience, emotional depth, interaction, and mutual support all contribute to the co-construction of identity.

The Concept of Identity and Its Intersection with Identity

In addition to identity, identity is influenced by social interactions, cultural factors, and individual characteristics (Harris & Orth, 2020; Pan et al., 2017). Identity is a dynamic process that encompasses memories, beliefs, and life experiences rather than a static idea. In instance, disability can encourage self-advocacy and resilience (Youberg, 2024). It usually encourages distinctive cultural identities and creative adaptation techniques, which support individual and group empowerment. A more comprehensive and representational view of human being is promoted by broadening the definition of identity to encompass relationality, emotional depth, and various forms of agency. According to Sviland et al. (2018), embodied identity maintains that one's body is essential to one's sense of self. People's perceptions of themselves are shaped by their physical experiences, which challenge the idea that the mind and body are distinct through movement, sensory engagement, and spatial connections. Social labels have the capacity to either empower people or limit them to preconceptions (Allen et al., 2017; Lubogo, 2023). Even though these labels could initially cause marginalization, action can reclaim them and turn them into markers of strength and group identification.

4. Identity Formation and Lived Experience

The Role of Experience in Shaping Identity and Identity

Perception is essentially embodied, according to Merleau-Ponty's phenomenology (Merleau-Ponty & Smith, 2015). According to this perspective, disability is a lived reality that influences sensory perception and interaction with the outside world, emphasizing the role that physically plays in creating a cohesive sense of self. Personal narratives are derived from everyday experiences, which are characterized by both setbacks and victories (Bochner, 2016; Murray, 2018). The significance of context in identity formation is highlighted by the ongoing narrative of self-discovery and adaptation that these lived experiences create.

Social contacts with friends, family, and community networks challenge preconceptions and affirm personal experiences (Campbell-Montalvo et al., 2022). The idea that identity is co-constructed and constantly changed through discussion is reinforced by such interaction. Identity articulation requires language (Preece, 2016; Woolard, 2020). People bridge interpersonal and cultural gaps by expressing themselves verbally and nonverbally, challenging social norms, and asserting their identity.

Disability, Identity, and the Narrative Self

According to the narrative method, identity is a dynamic narrative that incorporates one's own challenges and triumphs. Bochner (2016) These stories frequently highlight tenacity and change for particular people, making them effective instruments for self-definition. Special persons exercise agency over their identities by creating their own narratives through literature, art, and ordinary conversation. Being in charge of their own narratives gives individuals the ability to reinterpret what it is to be human on their own terms.

To develop a cohesive identity, memory and introspection are essential (Mercer, 2017; Morin & Racy, 2021). By integrating life events into a cohesive narrative, reflection on prior experiences promotes resilience and ongoing personal development. Conventional linear narrative might not adequately convey the experiences of people with cognitive disorders. To properly acknowledge their identities and respect their various forms of self-expression, narrative frameworks must be adaptable.

Social Constructs of Identity and Identity

Our conception of identity is shaped by media portrayals, legal definitions, and cultural standards (Vatter & De Leeuw, 2023). In order to influence public policy and self-perception, dominant narratives frequently favor particular abilities, hence it is imperative to challenge and update existing social norms. What constitutes "normal" behavior and competence is determined by normative criteria (Nyborg et al., 2016). By placing strict requirements on conduct, resource distribution, and legal or medical assessments, these benchmarks can restrict the development of an individual's identity in the context of disability. The secret to a more inclusive understanding is to challenge such standards.

Negative self-concepts can be reinforced and self-worth diminished by stigma and marginalization (Tekkar & Tripathi, 2022). Nonetheless, activism and group empowerment can turn these difficulties into sources of resiliency, assisting people in positively redefining who they are. In order to challenge classifications that exclude people, activism is essential. Disability movements have changed legislative frameworks and cultural narratives by advocating for the recognition and value of various types of identity through protest, lobbying, and artistic expression.

5. Relational, Legal, and Ethical Dimensions of Identity

Relational Identity and the Ethics of Care

According to care ethics, mutual support and sympathetic involvement are inextricably linked to well-being (Green & Chiazio, 2024; Uluroti, 2024). According to this relational paradigm, autonomy is something that is fostered by continuous social ties rather than being seen as an isolated form of independence. Relationships with family, friends, and caregivers offer vital emotional and practical support to a large number of people with disabilities. Redefining dependency as reciprocal assistance strengthens a sense of community and builds resilience.

Supportive networks generate conditions of acceptance that encourage individuals to embrace their complete personalities (Ajakor & Green, 2024; Ulutorti, 2024). These group ties act as a counterbalance to stigmatizing myths and form the basis for individual and community strength. Individual independence is the main focus of traditional ideas of autonomy (Oshana, 2016). A relational perspective, on the other hand, provides a more comprehensive definition of identity by acknowledging that true self-determination frequently arises within supportive networks.

Legal and Moral Identity in the Context of Disability

According to Pons et al. (2022), legal frameworks have traditionally defined identity in terms of rights and obligations, frequently reflecting cultural biases that devalue people with disabilities. Recent changes aim to provide full citizenship for all people by expanding legal recognition to encompass a range of talents. Disability rights movements have been essential in contesting restrictive legal classifications (Heyer, 2015; Kozleski et al., 2023; Puar, 2017). In order to change public policy and views, activists have been effective in promoting reforms that acknowledge each person's inherent worth and full humanity.

When impairment impairs conventional assessments of autonomy and quality of life, medical ethics encounters difficulties in assessing identity (Albert et al., 2025; Rosen, 2023). Regardless of physical or cognitive disabilities, a more nuanced ethical approach respects each person's lived experience and inherent dignity. Ethical issues surrounding quality of life and decision-making capacity must evolve beyond beliefs that link cognitive or physical impairments with lower worth (Albert et al., 2025; Green & Chiazio, 2024). For care to be just and compassionate, a framework that honors lived experience and alternative kinds of agency is necessary.

4. Identity in Cognitive and Intellectual Disabilities

Narrow notions of identity based on rationality are called into question by cognitive deficits (Ohlin, 2017). There is a need to broaden the definition of full humanity because people with cognitive disabilities frequently display emotional depth, perseverance, and distinctive agency. Adaptive communication and innovative problem-solving are two ways that many people with cognitive disabilities express their strong identities (LeFevre-Levy et al., 2023). Their real-life experiences show that agency is not exclusively reliant on traditional cognitive standards.

From family networks to specialized programs, support systems offer vital resources that facilitate self-expression, bridging the gap between the lived realities of cognitive differences and societal expectations. Traditional metrics frequently fall short of capturing the inherent dignity of people with severe intellectual disabilities; inclusive frameworks, which emphasize emotional capacity and relational bonds, affirm their full humanity.

Embodied Identity and the Impact of Physical Disability

Our sense of self is fundamentally shaped by our physical embodiment (Tsakiris, 2017). Physicality is a crucial aspect of

individual identity since the body is the conduit for sensory experience and contact with the outside environment. Reconfiguring one's self-perception is frequently necessary for physical disabilities (Eyer, 2021). Resilience and a complex sense of self that embraces both challenge and individuality can be fostered by adjusting to settings intended for people with able bodies.

Self-worth can be undermined by cultural prejudices that are often triggered by visible physical disparities (Merino et al., 2024). But these attitudes are slowly changing as a result of activism and inclusive practices, which are encouraging a more comprehensive and compassionate understanding of human worth. Modern models embrace physical impairment as an essential component of identity rather than seeing it only as a deficiency. Accepting a range of bodily experiences enhances our grasp of what it is to be human as a whole.

Identity Politics and Disability Activism

By claiming a communal identity that reframes disability as a source of strength, disability identity politics subverts historical narratives of inadequacy (Merino et al., 2024). This movement has played a significant role in promoting social change and expanding public opinion. By promoting a broader definition of identity, activism challenges the exclusive standards of autonomy and reason (Côté-Boudreau, 2019; Foblets et al., 2018). Activists advocate for the acceptance of various human forms in society through public discourse and artistic expression.

Positively redefining disability turns stigma into empowerment (Newman, 2015). People who celebrate their individuality not only demonstrate their value but also have an impact on cultural narratives and social policy. People with disabilities can support and validate one another when they have a strong collective identity (Dirth & Branscombe, 2018; Harell et al., 2022). People are empowered to question oppressive conventions and participate in public debate through shared cultural practices and community networks.

7. Philosophical Implications of Neurodiversity on Identity

According to neurodiversity, differences in cognitive abilities, including dyslexia, autism, and ADHD, are normal parts of human diversity (LeFevre-Levy et al., 2023; Megari et al., 2024). The movement welcomes these characteristics as alternate methods of processing information rather than seeing them as weaknesses. Neurodiverse diseases are frequently pathologized by traditional standards (Smart, 2024). Neurodiversity promotes a wider understanding of many cognitive types as legitimate manifestations of identity by reframing what is deemed "normal."

According to a neurodiverse viewpoint, intelligence should be defined broadly to include analytical abilities as well as creativity, emotional intelligence, and practical problem-solving (Efthymiou, 2024; Saluja et al., 2024). By recognizing that a range of cognitive capacities enhance the human experience, this holistic viewpoint transforms our conception of identity. A moral commitment to value all cognitive profiles is necessary to embrace neurodiverse identity (Kluge, 2024). This calls for a reassessment of established hierarchies and the

development of healthcare, work, and education systems that value the diversity of human intellect.

8. Conclusion

The study highlights the profound effects of social isolation on deaf people's personality development, showing a strong correlation between extended isolation and increased emotional dysregulation, poor impulse control, and heightened susceptibility to psychological distress. The findings suggest that prolonged social detachment is associated with increased anxiety, despair, and trouble establishing safe interpersonal connections. The study offers proof that social isolation at crucial developmental stages dramatically changes behavioral reactions and emotional regulation patterns. These results are consistent with earlier research that highlighted the vital role that social interactions play in influencing how people with sensory impairments see themselves, their emotional intelligence, and their adaptive coping strategies. The study also adds to the body of knowledge by emphasizing how maladaptive personality traits are made worse by a lack of relevant communication channels, which emphasizes the necessity of focused psychological and social therapies.

This study provides vital insights into the need for structured support networks for deaf people, especially in reducing the negative impacts of social exclusion, in addition to validating theoretical frameworks on social development and psychological well-being. The qualitative results highlight how long-term isolation can lead to protective personality traits, increased mistrust, and emotional instability, all of which impede social integration. The study also shows that community-driven projects, inclusive educational practices, and easily available communication platforms are essential for building emotional resilience and lessening the psychological toll that comes with loneliness.

The study also emphasizes the value of early intervention programs, pointing out that controlled social environment exposure in childhood and adolescence greatly improves impulse control, adaptive emotional reactions, and general personality stability. In light of these results, it is clear that helping deaf people communicate through sign language instruction, social inclusion initiatives, and mental health assistance can greatly enhance psychological health and encourage the development of healthier personalities.

By offering empirical support for the impact of social isolation on deaf people's personality qualities, this study adds to the expanding corpus of research on the psychological implications of social isolation. Limitations including sample size restrictions and possible cultural influences on personality development should be recognized and addressed in future research, even though the study provides strong evidence on the topic. The findings may become even more generalizable if research is expanded to encompass a variety of individuals in various sociocultural contexts. Further understanding of the long-term impacts of social isolation on personality development might also be possible through the integration of longitudinal studies. Policymakers, educators, and mental health practitioners must work together to establish inclusive environments that support social participation, emotional

regulation, and psychological well-being in deaf people, given the urgent need for effective therapies. In the end, this study's findings highlight the critical need for structural changes that put accessibility, inclusion, and psychological support first in order to improve the general quality of life for those who are socially isolated.

Recommendations

1. Include courses that cover a range of philosophical viewpoints on identity and identity. Incorporating disability studies and neurodiversity into the classroom can promote empathy, lessen stigma, and challenge accepted norms.
2. To represent an inclusive view of identity, legislators and healthcare professionals should work together to revise legal definitions and medical procedures. Reforms should guarantee that the variety of human experiences is respected in terms of rights, consent, and treatment.
3. Encourage studies that connect disability studies, psychology, sociology, and philosophy. Multidisciplinary research can produce thorough models that faithfully capture the complexity of identity and guide future regulations.
4. Increase financing, platforms, and community initiatives to support disability advocacy. To change cultural narratives and promote social justice, these voices must be given more clout.
5. Training programs that attempt to dispel ableist preconceptions should be implemented by institutions. It is possible to change cultural beliefs and create communities that embrace varied identities by promoting language that is respectful and empowering.

References

- Agmon, M., Sa'ar, A., & Araten-Bergman, T. (2016). The person in the disabled body: a perspective on culture and identity from the margins. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 15, 1-11.
- Ajakor, E., & Green, A. U. (2024). MANAGEMENT OF DEAF PERSONS USING THE PRINCIPLES OF RELIGIOUS STUDIES, AND HUMAN RELATIONS: IMPLICATIONS AND IMPERATIVES. *OHAAZURUME-Unizik Journal of Culture and Civilization*, 3(4).
- Alaimo, S. (2017). *Disability studies and the environmental humanities: Toward an eco-crip theory*. U of Nebraska Press.
- Albert, U. G., Gabriel, C. U., Nwude, P. D. F. P., & Umeoranefo, I. C. (2025). Enquiry of Hospital Emergency Practices on Patients with Hearing and Speech Impairment: Implications and Solutions. *Journal of Theoretical and Empirical Studies in Education*, 9(2), 137-151.
- Allen, C. B., Wright, J. P., & Pietenpol, A. M. (2017). The deadbeat dad: The stereotype and the reality. In *Routledge handbook on deviance* (pp. 240-251). Routledge.
- Álvarez-Aguado, I., Córdova, V. V., Roselló-Peñaloza, M., González-Carrasco, F., Farhang, M., Vilo, K. C., Spencer, H., Rivera, F. M. L., & Aguado, L. Á. (2024). Self-Determination Skills in Ageing Women With Intellectual Disabilities. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*.
- Arrington, R. L. (2019). *Rationalism, realism, and relativism: perspectives in contemporary moral epistemology*. Cornell University Press.
- Artiles, A. J. (2023). Beyond responsiveness to identity badges: Future research on culture in disability and implications for response to intervention. In *Mapping the field* (pp. 310-331). Routledge.

- Blackmore, S., & Troscianko, E. T. (2018). *Consciousness: an introduction*. Routledge.
- Blumenthal-Barby, J. (2024). The end of identity. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 24(1), 3-12.
- Bochner, A. P. (2016). *Coming to narrative: A personal history of paradigm change in the human sciences*. Routledge.
- Bochner, A. P. (2016). *Coming to narrative: A personal history of paradigm change in the human sciences*. Routledge.
- Boucher, M. (2022). *Our posthuman present: thinking through ableism, disability, and untethered norms*.
- Campbell-Montalvo, R., Kersaint, G., Smith, C. A., Puccia, E., Skvoretz, J., Wao, H., Martin, J. P., MacDonald, G., & Lee, R. (2022). How stereotypes and relationships influence women and underrepresented minority students' fit in engineering. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 59(4), 656-692.
- Bochner, A. P. (2016). *Coming to narrative: A personal history of paradigm change in the human sciences*.
- Murray, M. (2018). Narrative data. *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data collection*, 264-279.
- Chadha, M. (2022). Identity in classical Indian philosophy.
- Côté-Boudreau, F. (2019). *Inclusive autonomy: A theory of freedom for everyone* Queen's University (Canada)].
- Dirth, T. P., & Branscombe, N. R. (2018). The social identity approach to disability: Bridging disability studies and psychological science. *Psychological bulletin*, 144(12), 1300.
- Dunlop, W. L. (2017). The narrative identity structure model (NISM). *Imagination, cognition and personality*, 37(2), 153-177.
- Efthymiou, E. (2024). *Revolutionizing Inclusive Education: Mindfulness, Neurodiversity, and Executive Functioning Skills: Mindfulness, Neurodiversity, and Executive Functioning Skills*. IGI Global.
- Eyer, K. (2021). Claiming disability. *BUL Rev.*, 101, 547.
- Foblets, M.-C., Graziadei, M., & Renteln, A. D. (2018). *Personal autonomy in plural societies: A principle and its paradoxes*. Taylor & Francis.
- Gooding, P. (2024). Power, Identity, and Data-Driven Technologies in the Lives of Disabled People: The Rise of Profiling Technologies in Mental Health Settings. In *Handbook of Disability: Critical Thought and Social Change in a Globalizing World* (pp. 1297-1318). Springer.
- Green, A. U., & Chiazio, N. G. (2024). DISABILITY AND ETHICS OF CARE: REIMAGINING DEPENDENCE. *OCHENDO: An African Journal of Innovative Studies*, 5(4).
- Green, A. U. Counseling Persons with Hearing Speech Impairment for National Security Safety: Implication for Counseling.
- Hall, M. (2016). *The bioethics of enhancement: Transhumanism, disability, and biopolitics*. Lexington books.
- Harell, A., Banting, K., Kymlicka, W., & Wallace, R. (2022). Shared membership beyond national identity: Deservingness and solidarity in diverse societies. *Political Studies*, 70(4), 983-1005.
- Harris, M. A., & Orth, U. (2020). The link between self-esteem and social relationships: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 119(6), 1459.
- Henig, L., & Ebbrecht-Hartmann, T. (2022). Witnessing Eva Stories: Media witnessing and self-inscription in social media memory. *new media & society*, 24(1), 202-226.
- Heyer, K. C. (2015). *Rights enabled: The disability revolution, from the US, to Germany and Japan, to the United Nations*. University of Michigan Press.
- Hammack, P. L. (2015). Theoretical foundations of identity. *The Oxford handbook of identity development*, 11-30.
- Kassahun, A., & Zewdie, A. (2022). Decision-making autonomy in maternal health service use and associated factors among women in Mettu District, Southwest Ethiopia: a community-based cross-sectional study. *BMJ open*, 12(5), e059307.
- Kant, I. (2017). *Kant: The metaphysics of morals*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kluge, I. (2024). *Disability in education from a neurodiversity standpoint: A multi-article dissertation* Antioch University].
- Kozleski, E. B., A. Proffitt, W., & Stepaniuk, I. (2023). Disability policy in the context of intersectionality, contemporary oppressions, and injustices: toward a just future. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 34(3), 211-223.
- Lawson, A., & Beckett, A. E. (2021). The social and human rights models of disability: towards a complementarity thesis. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 25(2), 348-379.
- LeFevre-Levy, R., Melson-Silimon, A., Harmata, R., Hulett, A. L., & Carter, N. T. (2023). Neurodiversity in the workplace: Considering neuroatypicality as a form of diversity. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 16(1), 1-19.
- Lubogo, I. C. (2023). The illusion of blame: untangling the web of stigmatized prejudice. In: Jescho Publishing House.
- Mansfield, N. (2020). *Subjectivity: Theories of the self from Freud to Haraway*. Routledge.
- McIntosh, J. (2018). Identity, self, and individual. *The international encyclopedia of anthropology*, 1-9.
- Megari, K., Frantzeou, C. K., Polyzopoulou, Z. A., & Tzouni, S. K. (2024). Neurocognitive features in childhood & adulthood in autism spectrum disorder: A neurodiversity approach. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 84(6), 471-499.
- Mercer, R. (2017). *Learning Identity Framework: Learning Identity through Self-Awareness* The Open University].
- Merino, M., Tornero-Aguilera, J. F., Rubio-Zarapuz, A., Villanueva-Tobaldo, C. V., Martín-Rodríguez, A., & Clemente-Suárez, V. J. (2024). Body perceptions and psychological well-being: a review of the impact of social media and physical measurements on self-esteem and mental health with a focus on body image satisfaction and its relationship with cultural and gender factors. *Healthcare*,
- Merleau-Ponty, M., & Smith, C. (2015). *Phenomenology of perception* (Vol. 26). Routledge London.
- Merrill, S. B. (2021). *Defining identity: toward the ethics of quality in clinical care* (Vol. 70). BRILL.
- Mitchell, J. P. (2021). Disability, Identity, and Vulnerability.
- Morin, A., & Racy, F. (2021). Dynamic self-processes. In *The handbook of personality dynamics and processes* (pp. 365-386). Elsevier.
- Murray, M. (2018). Narrative data. *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data collection*, 264-279.
- Newman, J. (2015). Identity and narrative: Turning oppression into client empowerment in social security disability cases. *Alb. L. Rev.*, 79, 373.
- Nyborg, K., Anderies, J. M., Dannenberg, A., Lindahl, T., Schill, C., Schlüter, M., Adger, W. N., Arrow, K. J., Barrett, S., & Carpenter, S. (2016). Social norms as solutions. *Science*, 354(6308), 42-43.
- Ohlin, J. D. (2017). Is the concept of the person necessary for human rights? In *International Legal Personality* (pp. 437-478). Routledge.
- Oshana, M. (2016). *Personal autonomy in society*. Routledge.
- Pan, Z., Lu, Y., Wang, B., & Chau, P. Y. (2017). Who do you think you are? Common and differential effects of social self-identity on social media usage. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 34(1), 71-101.
- Parker, D. R., & Field, S. (2023). Self-determination theory, research, and practice in the US: promoting personal growth in a standardized culture. In *Handbook of Higher Education and Disability* (pp. 261-276). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Pons, W. I., Lord, J. E., & Stein, M. A. (2022). Disability, human rights violations, and crimes against humanity. *American Journal of International Law*, 116(1), 58-95.
- Preece, S. (2016). *The Routledge handbook of language and identity* (Vol. 11). Routledge London.
- Puar, J. K. (2017). *The right to maim: Debility, capacity, disability*. Duke University Press.
- Rapp, A., & Tirassa, M. (2017). Know thyself: a theory of the self for personal informatics. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 32(5-6), 335-380.

- Reber, L., Kreschmer, J. M., James, T. G., Junior, J. D., DeShong, G. L., Parker, S., & Meade, M. A. (2022). Ableism and contours of the attitudinal environment as identified by adults with long-term physical disabilities: a qualitative study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(12), 7469.
- Rosen, B. M. (2023). Supported decision-making and merciful health care access: Respecting autonomy at end of life for individuals with cognitive disabilities. *Wash. & Lee L. Rev.*, 80, 555.
- Saluja, S., Kukreja, J., & Sharma, S. (2024). *Building Organizational Resilience with Neuroleadership*. IGI Global.
- Shakespeare, T. (2015). *Disability research today*. Routledge London.
- Smart, G. L. (2024). Madness, Neurodiversity, and Disability: The Complex Relationship. In *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Disability* (pp. 1-13). Springer.
- Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2018). *Resilience: The science of mastering life's greatest challenges*. Cambridge University Press.
- Stein, M. A. (2017). Disability human rights. In *Nussbaum and law* (pp. 3-49). Routledge.
- Sviland, R., Martinsen, K., & Råheim, M. (2018). Towards living within my body and accepting the past: A case study of embodied narrative identity. *Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy*, 21, 363-374.
- Tekkar, P., & Tripathi, S. R. (2022). Social Stigma and Self: A Psycho-social Study of People with Visual Impairment. *Indian Journal of Health & Wellbeing*, 13(3).
- Tsakiris, M. (2017). The multisensory basis of the self: From body to identity to others. *Quarterly journal of experimental psychology*, 70(4), 597-609.
- Uluroti, G. A. (2024). Cultural Sensitivity in Psychotherapy for Deaf Individuals in Nigeria. *International Journal For Psychotherapy In Africa*, 9(3).
- Ulutorti, G. A. (2024). ANGLICAN DIOCESE ON THE NIGER AND HER HUMANITARIAN ROLE FOR THE DEAF IN ONITSHA. *CROWTHER JOURNAL OF ARTS AND HUMANITIES*, 1(1).
- Vatter, M., & De Leeuw, M. (2023). Human rights, legal identity and the impersonality of embodied life. *Law, Culture and the Humanities*, 19(1), 106-125.
- Waitoller, F. R., & Thorius, K. K. (2022). *Sustaining disabled youth: Centering disability in asset pedagogies*. Teachers College Press.
- Withers, A. (2024). *Disability politics and theory*. Fernwood Publishing.
- Woolard, K. A. (2020). Language ideology. *The international encyclopedia of linguistic anthropology*, 1-21.
- Youberg, J.-L. B. (2024). Resilience and Advocacy: A Narrative Analysis of Disability Campaigns in the United States.